

To: Rumrill, Nancy[Rumrill.Nancy@epa.gov]
Cc: Rao, Kate[Rao.kate@epa.gov];
Jonathan.Koplos@cadmusgroup.com[Jonathan.Koplos@cadmusgroup.com]
From: JAMES D WALKER
Sent: Wed 4/12/2017 7:43:09 PM
Subject: RE: Comments on Excelsior Response to EPA Comments on the Gunnison ISR Copper Project

...

Thanks, Nancy.

I did get confirmation from Cadmus today that 30 hours were added to the review task plus time for Cadmus QA review.

Jim

From: Rumrill, Nancy
Sent: Wednesday, April 12, 2017 12:45 PM
To: JAMES D WALKER
Cc: Rao, Kate
Subject: RE: Comments on Excelsior Response to EPA Comments on the Gunnison ISR Copper Project

FYI final word document. You can present your review to each response in the attached final word document under each comment. Thanks, Nancy

From: JAMES D WALKER [mailto:jameswalker5@msn.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 12, 2017 10:37 AM
To: Rumrill, Nancy
Cc: Jonathan.Koplos@cadmusgroup.com; Rao, Kate
Subject: Comments on Excelsior Response to EPA Comments on the Gunnison ISR Copper Project

Nancy,

How do you want our comments presented? The Excelsior response is a PDF document which I can't edit. I have a draft of the EPA comment document in Word format, but not the final version that was sent to Excelsior. I could use the final Word version as a format for our comments or I could draft a new Word document with just our comments on the Excelsior responses. Please let me know what you prefer and forward the final Word version of the EPA comment document if that is your preference.

As I review the Excelsior responses, I am inserting brief comments as "sticky notes" in the Excelsior PDF document for reference in drafting complete comments in a Word document.

Will Kate be amending the original TDM or issue a new TDM to add LOE hours to the review?

Thanks,

Jim